

Salt Lake City, UT 84111

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/047,770 01/15/2002 Neville Waisbrod 14684.58 **EXAMINER** 10/04/2003 DANA L. TANGREN ANDERSON, GERALD A WORKMAN, NYDEGGER & SEELEY ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1000 Eagle Gate Tower 60 East South Temple 3637

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		August and an Ale		A	—A-	
Office Action Summary The MAILING DATE of this communication app		Application No	5 .	Applicant(s)		
		10/047,770		WAISBROD ET AL.	/\	
		Examiner		Art Unit	d I	
		JERRY A AND		3637	41	
Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cov	er sneet with the c	orrespondence add	ress-	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	noise de communicadion (a) filad an					
· <u> </u>	nsive to communication(s) filed on		61			
<u> </u>	, 	nis action is non-				•_
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of C						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-8</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2 and 3</u> is/are objected to.						
•) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requir	ement.			
Application Pape						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abovance. See 37 CER 1.85(a)						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. 🗌 C	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)		<u> </u>		-		
1) Notice of Refere	ences Cited (PTO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>i</u>	4) [5) [2 and 3 . 6) [Notice of Informal F	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTO		

Application/Control Number: 10/047,770

Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement complies with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), listing of all patents, publications, or other information submitted. However, the date provided should be at least the month and year of publication in the form MM/YYYY. The day of the publication can be omitted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The language of the claims must clearly distinguish the elements of the claims. Therefore the term "a panel" in line 2 of claim 2 of must be clearly distinguished form the door panel. Change this to -- an auxiliary panel --.

Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/047,770

Art Unit: 3637

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 9-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hwang in view of the ordinary skill of one versed in the art. Hwang is cited showing a bendable door panel 10 mounted for pivoting in a frame provided by the cabinet. In Hwang the door is fixed in a curved configuration by a fixer plate. It is well settled in case law that the elimination of a element and its function is an obvious mater of design choice for one having an ordinary skill in the art. Therefore it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to have modified Hwang by eliminating the fixer plate and the fixed curve of the door allowing the door to conform to the curve of the cabinet frame in a closed position and to flatten when in the open position.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. King et al and McComb

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 1 and 4-8 are allowed.

Claims 2 and 3 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/047,770

Art Unit: 3637

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerry Anderson whose telephone number is 703 038 2202. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703 308 24668. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 305 3597 for regular communications and 703 306 4195 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 2197.

Jaa September 28, 2003

> RALD A. ANDERSON PATPNT FXAMINER